Do you think the builders see value in these programs?

The UF has embraced green building – would that not indicate economic viability?

Shouldn't all buildings be built with the idea they will last 100 years?

How do we get the community to offer water conserving landscapes in new construction?

Is the energy efficiency of retail and office buildings starting to be a major consideration for end users in deciding where to rent? (due to cost savings or image/public perception)

How do we get our building codes to update quicker as new environmentally sound technologies are developed? (Such as gray water reuse)

How do we reduce the heat island effect of parking lots?

Park and ride would probably work at a start of a trip but how would it work when person has multiple stops? – Gainesville is very spread out.

Is there an increasing demand among home buyers to favor higher density urban/new urban development? Is the trend increasing with the next generation of buyers?

How is the market manipulated so that sustainable practices aren't always viable? Do the developers, etc., pay the full costs of the impacts?

Do you think there is enough groundwater supply to support perpetual growth? If not, what solution do you suggest? (Note: Previous guests to this forum suggested there is not enough groundwater supply for perpetual growth).

The internet is full of people complaining about GRU's rates. Isn't the problem something else? Energy inefficiency?

What is the real purpose of the impact fee?

Is density the issue? How dense is too dense for Gainesville? Gainesville is bigger than San Fransisco and only about 1/7 the population.

What is our responsibility as existing residents to conserve water so that there will be enough water for our grandchildren?

How would you define urban amenities?

These are great ideas for new houses, how do we address the houses that are already there?

Why not develop part of parking lots as green infrastructure?

Older urban areas have more difficulty absorbing storm water than suburban settings but they also consume less ground water per capita. Is that a reasonable tradeoff?